

----- Original Message -----

From: <jurycom@server41.integrityserver.net>

To: <james.link@jury.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 3:55 PM

Subject: Letter to Urge California Supreme Court to Review Benninghoff v Superior Court

>

> email: stuart@mackenzielaw.com

> submit: Submit

> Remote Name: 70.137.135.221

> Remote User:

> HTTP User Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;

> AT&T

CSM7.0; SV1; .NET CLR 1.0.3705; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727)

>

> Letter:

>

> Stuart I. MacKenzie (SB#92243)

> MacKenzie & Associates

> 22320 Foothill Blvd., Suite 460

> Hayward, CA. 94541

> (510) 537-7200

>

>

>

> Hon. Ronald M. George, Chief Justice

> Hon. Associate Justices

> Supreme Court of California

> 350 McAllister Street

> San Francisco, CA 94102

>

> Re: Charles Benninghoff v. Superior Court ,

> Real Party In Interest: The California Bar

> Supreme Court Number: S141459

>

> Dear Chief Justice George and Associate Justices:

>

> This letter is sent to you because I am a lawyer and am concerned
> about

the far-reaching holdings of Benninghoff v Superior Court. It is my wish that the California Supreme Court grant review of this case and restrict the scope of the practice of law to those things that only lawyers are allowed to do as determined in your case of Baron v. City of Los Angeles (1970) 2 Cal.3rd 525.

>

> I authorize James, Link, Esq., a Member of the California Bar, to

> oversee

formatting, printing, service of required copies upon the Parties to this action and filing with the Supreme Court of this email.

>

> It is my intent that by manually typing my name at the conclusion of

> this
sentence that said act shall constitute my signature hereto according
to applicable law including the Federal Digital Signatures Act, and
otherwise:
>
> Stuart I. MacKenzie
>
>