

----- Original Message -----

From: <jurycom@server41.integrityserver.net>

To: <james.link@jury.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 6:30 PM

Subject: Letter to Urge California Supreme Court to Review Benninghoff v Superior Court

>

> email: hgschiff@pacbell.net

> submit: Submit

> Remote Name: 75.4.0.36

> Remote User:

> HTTP User Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;

> SV1;

.NET CLR 1.1.4322)

>

> Letter:

>

> Gunther H. Schiff

> Callifornia Bar # 24630

> 9430 Olympic Blvd.

> Beverly Hills, CA 90212

> 310 557 9081

>

>

>

> Hon. Ronald M. George, Chief Justice

> Hon. Associate Justices

> Supreme Court of California

> 350 McAllister Street

> San Francisco, CA 94102

>

> Re: Charles Benninghoff v. Superior Court ,

> Real Party In Interest: The California Bar

> Supreme Court Number: S141459

>

> Dear Chief Justice George and Associate Justices:

>

> This letter is sent to you because I am a lawyer who is concerned

> about

the far-reaching holdings of Benninghoff v Superior Court. I earnestly request that the California Supreme Court grant review of this case in order to clarify that the law restricts the scope of the practice of law only to those actions that only lawyers are allowed to do, as set forth in your case of Baron v. City of Los Angeles (1970) 2 Cal.3rd 525.

>

> I find it counterproductive to the administration of law that, under

> the

Benninghoff decision, I would be unable if I decided to resign from the active practice to sit on the board of charitable organizations, or give advice to my son on the meaning of a home mortgage. It seems

clear to me that a resigned attorney should be able to do the work that any other nonattorney can do. I believe the opinion of the court in the Benninghoff decision fails to take into account its unintended consequences, which I believe unnecessarily and wrongly holds that the applicable code sections restrict virtually all activities a retired member of the California Bar might engage in if there is some content which may include legal interpretation of a document, a law, or aiding in filling out a tax form - all advice which anyone is able to give, so long as he or she is not a retired lawyer.

>

> I authorize James, Link, Esq., a Member of the California Bar, to
> oversee

formatting, printing, service of required copies upon the Parties to this action and filing with the Supreme Court of this email.

>

> It is my intent that by manually typing my name at the conclusion of
> this

sentence that said act shall constitute my signature hereto according to applicable law including the Federal Digital Signatures Act, and otherwise:

>

> Gunther H. Schiff

>

>